Large Neighborhood Beam Search for Domain-Independent Dynamic Programming

Ryo Kuroiwa and J. Christopher Beck

Toronto Intelligent Decision Engineering Laboratory (TIDEL) Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering University of Toronto





Background

Domain-Independent Dynamic Programming (DIDP)

CP-like model & solve paradigm based on dynamic programming (DP)



state space search

DIDP Sample Code

import didppy as dp

```
model = dp.Model()
customer = model.add object type(number=4)
unvisited = model.add set var(object type=customer, target=[1, 2, 3])
location = model.add element var(object type=customer, target=0)
travel time = model.add int table(
    [[0, 3, 4, 5], [3, 0, 5, 4], [4, 5, 0, 3], [5, 4, 3, 0]]
for j in range(1, 4):
   visit = dp.Transition(
        name="visit {}".format(j),
        cost=travel time[location, j] + dp.IntExpr.state cost(),
        effects=[(unvisited, unvisited.remove(j)), (location, j)],
        preconditions=[unvisited.contains(j)],
   model.add transition(visit)
return to = dp.Transition(
   name="return",
   cost=travel time[location, 0] + dp.IntExpr.state cost(),
   effects=[(location, 0)],
   preconditions=[unvisited.is empty(), location != 0],
model.add transition(return to)
model.add base case([unvisited.is empty(), location == 0])
solver = dp.CAASDy(model)
solution = solver.search()
```



Visit <u>https://didppy.rtfd.io</u>

Example 1: a TSP-Like Routing Problem

Visit all nodes starting from customer 0 (no need to return) while minimizing the total travel cost (visiting *j* from *i* requires cost c_{ij})



Recursive decomposition into subproblems by visiting one customer



Recursively defined **value function** *V* maps a **state** (subproblem), defined by unvisited customers *U* and the current customer *i*, to the cost

compute $V(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0)$ Target state (original problem) $V(U, i) = \begin{cases} \min_{j \in U} c_{ij} + V(U \setminus \{j\}, j) & \text{if } U \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } U = \emptyset \end{cases}$ Transition (visiting one customer) $V(U, i) \ge h(U, i)$ I(U, i)Dual bound function



Recursively defined **value function** *V* maps a **state** (subproblem), defined by unvisited customers *U* and the current customer *i*, to the cost

compute $V(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0)$ Target state (original problem) $V(U, i) = \begin{cases} \min_{j \in U} c_{ij} + V(U \setminus \{j\}, j) & \text{if } U \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } U = \emptyset \end{cases}$ Transition (visiting one customer) $V(U, i) \ge h(U, i)$ if $U = \emptyset$ Base case (all customers are visited) $V(U, i) \ge h(U, i)$ (1)(2)



Recursively defined **value function** *V* maps a **state** (subproblem), defined by unvisited customers *U* and the current customer *i*, to the cost

compute $V(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0)$ **Target state** (original problem) $V(U,i) = \begin{cases} \min_{j \in U} c_{ij} + V(U \setminus \{j\}, j) & \text{if } U \neq \emptyset & \text{Transition (visiting one customer)} \\ 0 & \text{if } U = \emptyset & \text{Base case (all customers are visited)} \end{cases}$ $V(U,i) \ge h(U,i)$ **Dual bound function** 3

Recursively defined **value function** *V* maps a **state** (subproblem), defined by unvisited customers *U* and the current customer *i*, to the cost

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{compute } V(\{1,2,3,4\},0) & \text{Target state (original problem)} \\ V(U,i) = \begin{cases} \min_{j \in U} c_{ij} + V(U \setminus \{j\},j) & \text{if } U \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } U = \emptyset \end{cases} & \text{Transition (visiting one customer)} \\ V(U,i) \geq h(U,i) & \text{Dual bound function} \end{cases} \\ \end{array}$



Recursively defined **value function** *V* maps a **state** (subproblem), defined by unvisited customers *U* and the current customer *i*, to the cost

compute $V(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0)$ **Target state** (original problem) $V(U,i) = \begin{cases} \min_{j \in U} c_{ij} + V(U \setminus \{j\}, j) & \text{if } U \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } U = \emptyset \end{cases}$ Transition (visiting one customer) if $U = \emptyset$ Base case (all customers are visited) $V(U,i) \ge h(U,i)$ **Dual bound function** 3 4

DP as State Space Search

- V(S): the shortest path cost from S to a base case in a state space
- Solution: a path from the target state



DP as State Space Search

Different paths can lead to the same state => store states in memory



Heuristic Search for DIDP

Guide the search using f(S) = g(S) + h(S) (LB on the path cost via *S*)



Keep the best *b* states according to the *f*-value in each layer

Beam width: b = 2

Target state



Keep the best *b* states according to the *f*-value in each layer

Beam width: b = 2

Target state



Keep the best *b* states according to the *f*-value in each layer

Beam width: b = 2



Keep the best *b* states according to the *f*-value in each layer

Beam width: b = 2



















SOTA: Complete Anytime Beam Search (CABS)

- Beam search with b = 1, 2, 4, 8, ..., until exhausting the state space
- Prune a state *S* if $f(S) \ge$ the incumbent solution cost



Zhang 1998; Kuroiwa and Beck ICAPS 2023b 27

Large Neighborhood Beam Search

Large Neighborhood Search (LNS)

LNS for CP: remove value assignments to some variables from a solution and perform tree search to find a better solution

Current solution: x = 1, y = 0, z = 0, w = 0Removed assignments: z = 0, w = 0



LNS for DIDP

Remove a partial path and search in a partial state space



Large Neighborhood Beam Search (LNBS)



Multi-Armed Bandit-Based Length Selection

How many transitions to remove given the remaining time 0.8?



=> Use Budgeted-UCB [Xia et al. 2015] to decide

Start Selection

Given length *d*, sample start *i* uniformly at random



Beam Width Selection

Double beam width b_{di} for length *d* and start *i* after each beam search starting from $b_{di}=1$



Beam Width Selection

- Reset b_{di} to 1 if the partial state space changes
- Prove optimality if i=1, d is the solution length, and b is large enough



Experimental Evaluation

Distribution of Primal Gap in Routing Problems

Primal gap: relative gap to the best known solution (smaller is better) achieved with 30 min



Distribution of Primal Gap in Scheduling Problems

Primal gap: relative gap to the best known solution (smaller is better) achieved with 30 min



Distribution of Primal Gap in Other Problems

Primal gap: relative gap to the best known solution (smaller is better) achieved with 30 min



Why LNBS is Worse in Some Problems?



Why LNBS is Worse in Some Problems?

- Hypothesis: when partial path costs are not diverse (low entropy), finding a better solution in a partial state space is difficult
- Not much difference if a problem is easy (the solution length is small)



Conclusion

- DIDP: a model & solve paradigm based on DP
- LNBS is effective particularly in routing and scheduling problems such as TSPTW, m-PDTSP, and talent scheduling, which seems to be related to the diversity of partial path costs
- Start DIDP with Python: pip install didppy Tutorials and API Reference: <u>https://didppy.rtfd.io</u>



Beam Width Selection

Double beam width b_{di} for length d and start i after each beam search starting from $b_{di}=1$



Definition of Entropy

$$H(Y) = \sum_{c \in C} \frac{|\{y \in Y \mid \cos t_y = c\}|}{|Y|} \log_2 \frac{|\{y \in Y \mid \cos t_y = c\}|}{|Y|}$$

Y : set of partial paths C : set of partial path costs

We enumerate all feasible prefixes for an initial solution where first eight transitions are removed