# Domain-Independent Dynamic Programming for Combinatorial Optimization 

J. Christopher Beck \& Ryo Kuroiwa
Department of Mechanical \& Industrial Engineering
University of Toronto
jcb@mie.utoronto.ca

## This is not a talk about Decision Diagrams

What it is about

1. A language to model combinatorial optimization problems as dynamic programs
2. A solver that solves such problems using heuristic search

## Model-and-Solve

Problem Problem Definition



## Model-and-Solve



## Model-and-Solve for DP

- Domain-independent dynamic programming (DIDP)

Define models using DP transition system


Solve models using heuristic state-based search

## Open Source Software: didp-rs

## https://github.com/domain-independent-dp/didp-rs



Implemented in Rust


## Outline

1. Background
2. Our Modeling Interface: DIDPPy
3. Solving DIDP
4. Anytime DIDP Solvers
5. Ongoing \& Future Work

## Combinatorial Optimization

Traveling Salesperson Problem with Time Windows (TSPTW) Minimize the travel time to visit all customers within time windows


## Combinatorial Optimization

Traveling Salesperson Problem with Time Windows (TSPTW) Minimize the travel time to visit all customers within time windows

Total cost: 14


## DP for Combinatorial Optimization

Recursive equations for the value function of a state (subproblem)
compute $V(N \backslash\{0\}, 0,0)$
$V(U, i, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\min _{j \in U: t+c_{i j} \leq b_{j}} c_{i j}+V\left(U \backslash\{j\}, j, \max \left\{t+c_{i j}, a_{j}\right\}\right) & \text { if } U \neq \emptyset & \text { Visit a customer } \\ c_{i 0}+V\left(\emptyset, 0, t+c_{i 0}\right) & \text { else if } i \neq 0 & \text { Return to the depot } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } & \text { Base case }\end{array}\right.$

State variables:

- $U$ : unvisited customers
- $i$ : current customer
- $t$ : current time

Constants

- $N$ : all customers (0: depot)
- $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ : time window for customer $i$
- $c_{i j}$ : travel time from customer $i$ to $j$
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compute $V(N \backslash\{0\}, 0,0)$
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DP usually solved by problem-specific algorithm implementations

## Our Modeling Interface: DIDPPy

## Constants and State Variables

```
import didppy as dp
model = dp.Model(maximize=False)
customer = model.add_object_type(number=4)
a = [0, 5, 0, 8]
b = [100, 16, 10, 14]
c = model.add_int_table([[0, 3, 4, 5], [3, 0, 5, 4], [4, 5, 0, 3], [5, 4, 3, 0]])
u = model.add_set_var(object_type=customer, target=[1, 2, 3])
i = model.add_element_var(object_type=customer, target=0)
t = model.add_int_var(target=0)
```
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u = model.add_set_var(object_type=customer, target=[1, 2, 3])
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## Constants and State Variables

```
import didppy as dp
model = dp.Model(maximize=False)
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a = [0, 5, 0, 8]
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c = model.add_int_table([[0, 3, 4, 5], [3, 0, 5, 4], [4, 5, 0, 3], [5, 4, 3, 0]])
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t = model.add_int_var(target=0)


\section*{Questions?}

\section*{Recursive Equation as Transitions}
```

for j in range(1, 4): }\quadV(U,i,t)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{min}}{j\inU:t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{b}{j}{\prime}}{}\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{}+V(U\backslash{j},j,max{t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{},\mp@subsup{a}{j}{}}
name="visit {}".format(j),
cost=c[i, j] + dp.IntExpr.state_cost(),
effects=[(u, u.remove(j)), (i, j), (t, dp.max(t + c[i, j], a[j]))],
preconditions=[u.contains(j), t + c[i, j] <= b[j]],
)
model.add_transition(visit)

```
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for j in range(1, 4): }\quadV(U,i,t)=\mp@subsup{m}{j\inU:t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{b}{j}{}}{}\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{}+V(U\backslash{j},j,max{t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{},\mp@subsup{a}{j}{}}
name="visit {}".format(j),
cost=c[i, j] + dp.IntExpr.state_cost(), How to compute V
effects=[(u, u.remove(j)), (i, j), (t, dp.max(t + c[i, j], a[j]))],
preconditions=[u.contains(j), t + c[i, j] <= b[j]],
)
model.add_transition(visit)

```

... for each value of \(i \in N\)
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for j in range(1, 4): }V(U,i,t)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{min}}{j\inU:t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{b}{j}{}}{}\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{}+V(U\backslash{j},j,m,max{t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{},\mp@subsup{a}{j}{}}
name="visit {}".format(j),
cost=c[i, j] + dp.IntExpr.state cost(), How to compute the next state
effects=[(u, u.remove(j)), (i, j), (t, dp.max(t + c[i, j], a[j]))],
preconditions=[u.contains(j), t + c[i, j] <= b[j]],
)
model.add_transition(visit)
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When the transition is applicable

```

\section*{Recursive Equation as Transitions}
```

for j in range(1, 4): }\quad|=|,U,i,t)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{min}}{j\inU:t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{b}{j}{}}{}\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{}+V(U\backslash{j},j,max{t+\mp@subsup{c}{ij}{},\mp@subsup{a}{j}{}}
name="visit {}".format(j),
cost=c[i, j] + dp.IntExpr.state_cost(),
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preconditions=[u.contains(j), t + c[i, j] <= b[j]],
)
model.add_transition(visit)

```

Applicable transitions


Value of the current state: minimum cost over all applicable transitions (infinity if no applicable transitions)

\section*{Recursive Equation as Transitions}
```

return_to_depot = dp.Transition( }V(U,i,t)=\mp@subsup{c}{i0}{}+V(\emptyset,0,t+\mp@subsup{c}{i0}{})\quad\mathrm{ if }U=\emptyset\wedgei\not=
name="return",
cost=c[i, 0] + dp.IntExpr.state_cost(),
effects=[(i, 0), (t, t + c[i, 0])],
preconditions=[u.is_empty(), i != 0],
)
model.add_transition(return_to_depot)

```


\section*{Base Cases: When to Stop Recursion}
model.add_base_case([u.is_empty(), i == 0], cost=0) \(V(U, i, t)=0 \quad\) if \(U=\emptyset \wedge i=0\)

End of recursion on \(V\)


\section*{Better Model with Redundant Information}

Explicitly modeling implications of the problem definition (similar to redundant constraints in MIP)
Dominance based on resource variables \(V(U, i, t) \leq V\left(U, i, t^{\prime}\right)\) if \(t \leq t^{\prime}\)
```

t = model.add_int_resource_var(target=0, less_is_better=True)

```

Dual bound function (LB in minimization) \(V(U, i, t) \geq 0\)
```

model.add_dual_bound(0)

```

A dual bound is defined for a state
Other features not detailed here:
- State constraints: conditions that a state must satisfy
- Forced transitions: sometimes transition can be inferred

\section*{Solving DIDP}

\section*{Solving}
```

solver = dp.CABS(model)
solution = solver.search()
if solution.is_optimal:
print("Optimal cost: {}".format(solution.cost))
elif solution.is_feasible:
print("Infeasible")
else:
print("Best cost: {}".format(solution.cost))
print("Best bound: {}".format(solution.best_bound))
print("Solution:")
for transition in solution.transitions:
print(transition.name)

```

\section*{DP as a Shortest Path Problem}
- Optimal solution: the shortest path in a state space graph
- Nodes: states, edges: transitions, weights: travel times


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)
\[
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\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)
\[
V(U, i, t) \geq 0 \quad\{1,2,3\}, 0,0
\]

\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{CAASDy: Prototype Solver for DIDP}
- Solves DP as a shortest path problem with A* search
- A* searches in the order of \(f\) (path cost + dual bound of a state)


\section*{Comparison of MIP, CP, and DIDP}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Problem & Description & MIP (Gurobi) & CP (CP Optimizer) & DIDP \\
\hline TSPTW (340) & TSP with time & 227 & 47 & 257 \\
\hline CVRP (207) & vehicle routing & 26 & 0 & 5 \\
\hline SALBP-1 (2100) & assembly line & 1357 & 1584 & 1653 \\
\hline Bin Packing (1615) & bin packing & 1157 & 1234 & 922 \\
\hline MOSP (570) & manufacturing & 225 & 437 & 483 \\
\hline Graph-Clear (135) & building security & 24 & 4 & 76 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\# of optimally solved instances with 8 GB and 30 minutes} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Anytime DIDP Solvers}

\section*{Anytime Solvers}
- Quickly find a solution and continuously improve it
- Standard in OR (e.g., MIP and CP)

Can we develop anytime solvers for DIDP?


\section*{Anytime State Space Search Algorithms}
\begin{tabular}{l|ll} 
Algorithm & Description & Reference \\
\hline Depth First Branch-and-Bound (DFBnB) & DFS & \\
Cyclic Best-First Search (CBFS) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Hybrid of DFS and \\
best-first search
\end{tabular} & Kao et al. 2009 \\
Anytime Column Progressive Search & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Hybrid of DFS and \\
beam search
\end{tabular} & Vadlamudi et al. 2012 \\
(ACPS) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Hybrid of DFS and \\
beam search
\end{tabular} & Vadlamudi et al. 2016 \\
Anytime Pack Progressive Search & Discrepancy-based & Beck and Perron 2000 \\
(APPS) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Discrepancy-Bounded DFS (DBDFS)
\end{tabular} \\
Complete Anytime Beam Search (CABS) & Iterative beam search Zhang 1998
\end{tabular}
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\end{tabular} & Vadlamudi et al. 2012 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Anytime Pack Progressive Search \\
(APPS)
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Hybrid of DFS and \\
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\begin{tabular}{ll} 
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\hline Complete Anytime Beam Search (CABS) & Iterative beam search Zhang 1998
\end{tabular}

\section*{Beam Search}
- Keep \(b\) best states using the \(f\)-value at each layer
- No guarantee of completeness nor optimality
\(b=2\)
\[
\frac{\{1,2,3\}, 0,0}{f: 0}
\]
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\section*{Complete Anytime Beam Search (CABS)}
- Beam search with \(b=1,2,4,8,16, \ldots\) until states are exhausted
- Prune a state \(s\) if \(f(s) \geq\) the incumbent solution cost
\(b=8\), incumbent: 14
\[
\frac{\{1,2,3\}, 0,0}{f: 0}
\]
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\section*{Complete Anytime Beam Search (CABS)}
- Beam search with \(b=1,2,4,8,16, \ldots\) until states are exhausted
- Prune a state \(s\) if \(f(s) \geq\) the incumbent solution cost


\section*{Experimental Evaluation of CABS}

\section*{\# of Optimally Solved by CABS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Description & MIP & CP & CAASDy & CABS \\
\hline TSPTW (340) & TSP with time & 227 & 47 & 257 & 259 \\
\hline CVRP (207) & vehicle routing & 26 & 0 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline SALBP-1 (2100) & assembly line & 1357 & 1584 & 1653 & 1801 \\
\hline Bin Packing (1615) & bin packing & 1157 & 1234 & 922 & 1163 \\
\hline MOSP (570) & manufacturing & 225 & 437 & 483 & 527 \\
\hline Graph-Clear (135) & building security & 24 & 4 & 76 & 103 \\
\hline Talent Scheduling (1000) & scheduling actors & 6 & 7 & 224 & 253 \\
\hline m-PDTSP (1117) & pick up \& delivery & 945 & 1049 & 947 & 1035 \\
\hline \(1 \| \sum w_{i} T_{i}(375)\) & job scheduling & 109 & 150 & 270 & 285 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Primal Integral}

Primal gap: \(\frac{\text { solution cost }- \text { best known cost }}{\text { solution cost }}\) (1 if no solution found)


\section*{Mean Primal Gap/Primal Integral}
\begin{tabular}{l|l|rrr} 
& Description & MIP & CP & CABS \\
\hline TSPTW (340) & TSP with time & \(0.227 / 484.1\) & \(0.026 / 49.0\) & \(\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 / 9 . 0}\) \\
CVRP (207) & vehicle routing & \(0.585 / 1157.4\) & \(0.317 / 601.2\) & \(0.185 / 351.2\) \\
SALBP-1 (2100) & assembly line & \(0.345 / 634.6\) & \(0.005 / 28.5\) & \(\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 / 1 . 9}\) \\
Bin Packing (1615) & bin packing & \(0.039 / 86.2\) & \(\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 / 8 . 0}\) & \(\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 / 5 . 3}\) \\
MOSP (570) & manufacturing & \(0.039 / 100.4\) & \(0.004 / 13.0\) & \(\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 / 0 . 4}\) \\
Graph-Clear (135) & building security & \(0.110 / 311.8\) & \(0.015 / 44.3\) & \(0.000 / 0.5\) \\
Talent Scheduling (1000) & scheduling actors & \(0.051 / 142.7\) & \(\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 / 1 8 . 1}\) & \(0.011 / 26.4\) \\
m-PDTSP (1178) & pick up \& delivery & \(0.078 / 180.0\) & \(0.013 / 26.0\) & \(0.002 / 5.3\) \\
\(1 \| \sum w_{i} T_{i}(375)\) & job scheduling & \(0.018 / 74.6\) & \(0.000 / 2.3\) & \(0.034 / 73.6\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Current \& Future Work}

\section*{DIDP Papers at CP}

\section*{Tuesday, August 29th}
```

16:00-16:50 Session 14B
Applications 3
16:00 Arnoosh Golestanian, Giovanni Lo Bianco, Chengyu Tao and J. Christopher Beck
Optimization models for pickup and delivery problems with reconfigurable capacities (abstract)

```

\section*{Thursday, August 31st}

\section*{13:20-14:20 Session 27A}

Search 3
13:50 Ryo Kuroiwa and J. Christopher Beck
Large Neighborhood Beam Search for Domain-Independent Dynamic Programming (abstract)

\section*{Building a Parallel Solver}


\section*{Comparison of Solvers with 32 Threads}
\begin{tabular}{l|l|rrrr} 
Problem & Description & Gurobi & \begin{tabular}{r} 
CP \\
Optimizer
\end{tabular} & CABS & Prototype \\
\hline TSPTW (340) & TSP with time & \(239 / 4.2\) & \(27 / 0.1\) & \(235 /-\) & \(262 / 13.3\) \\
CVRP (207) & vehicle routing & \(29 / 5.3\) & \(0 /-\) & \(5 /-\) & \(8 / 9.3\) \\
SALBP-1 (2100) & assembly line & \(1351 / 1.3\) & \(1581 / 1.4\) & \(1714 /-\) & \(1824 / 18.8\) \\
Bin Packing (1615) & bin packing & \(1192 / 6.4\) & \(1251 / 9.2\) & \(1110 /-\) & \(1239 / 39.6\) \\
MOSP (570) & manufacturing & \(238 / 3.1\) & \(397 / 0.3\) & \(507 /-\) & \(531 / 9.0\) \\
Graph-Clear (135) & building security & \(16 / 2.0\) & \(3 / 3.2\) & \(92 /-\) & \(113 / 10.3\)
\end{tabular}
\# of optimally solved instances/speedup with 32 threads, 19 2GB, and 5 minutes

\section*{What Makes a Good Model?}

What DP models are good/bad?
DP Model 1


\section*{Domain-Independent Dual Bound Function}

Can we automatically derive a dual bound function from a model?


\section*{Empirical Analysis of DIDP Search}
- What properties of a problem do make DP efficient/inefficient?
- Apply empirical analysis conducted in SAT and CSP
- E.g., does randomized restart help?


Figure 1. Random 4-SAT problems, tested using ASAT,
mean (solid), median (dashed) branches, \(N=75\)

\section*{Please Use DIDP on Your Problems!}
- Visit our website: https://didp.ai
- Start DIDP with Python: pip install didppy Tutorials and API Reference: https://didppy.rtfd.io
```

